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#### Abstract

10-Methyl-Aplog-1 (1), a simplified analog of tumor-promoting debromoaplysiatoxin (DAT), is a potential anti-cancer lead because, unlike DAT, $\mathbf{1}$ is not tumor-promoting or proinflammatory. However, its synthesis required 23 linear steps with an overall yield of $1.1 \%$. To develop a more synthetically accessible compound, we designed a new analog (2) whose carbon atom at position 4 was replaced with an oxygen atom. Simultaneous construction of the spiroketal at position 7 , the acetal at position 3 , and the macrolactone ring enabled us to reduce the synthetic steps to produce $\mathbf{2}$ and its 3-epimer (16) in 18 linear steps with overall yields of 0.30 and $0.67 \%$ respectively. Although both analogs retained anti-proliferative activity, it was weaker than that of $\mathbf{1}$.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

Protein kinase $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PKC})$ is a family of serine/threonine kinases involved in intracellular signal transduction related to proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis, and serves as a potential target for the treatment of cancer, ${ }^{1}$ Alzheimer's disease, ${ }^{2}$ and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). ${ }^{3}$ Tumor-promoting and highly proinflammatory natural products, ${ }^{4-6}$ such as phorbol esters, teleocidins, and aplysiatoxins (Figure 1), also show anti-proliferative and proapoptotic activities towards several cancer cell lines, by binding to conventional ( $\alpha, \beta \mathrm{I}, \beta \mathrm{II}, \gamma$ ) and novel $(\delta, \varepsilon, \eta, \theta)$ PKC isozymes. ${ }^{7}$ On the other hand, bryostatin 1 (bryo1), isolated from marine bryozoan Bugula neritina, ${ }^{8}$ is a unique PKC activator with little tumor-promoting and proinflammatory activities. ${ }^{9}$ Its anti-cancer activity for several solid tumors has thus been investigated in phase I and II clinical trials. ${ }^{10,11}$ However, the trials gave rather disappointing results. ${ }^{12,13}$ Although bryo-1 antagonized some activities of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate (TPA), ${ }^{14}$ its anti-proliferative activity against several cancer cell lines was not so high.

We focused on natural PKC ligands with anti-proliferative activity as well as tumor-promoting and proinflammatory activities, to develop a new anti-cancer lead by extracting only the anti-proliferative activity related to PKC activation. Recently, we designed Aplog-1, a simplified analog of debromoaplysiatoxin (DAT) isolated from the sea hare Stylocheilus longicauda. ${ }^{6}$ Aplog-1 suppressed the proliferation of several cancer cell lines but, unlike DAT, did not exhibit tumor-promoting or proinflammatory activities. ${ }^{15}$ Subsequent structure-activity studies 16 identified 10-methyl-Aplog-1 (1) (Figure 2) that had anti-proliferative activity one order of magnitude greater compared with Aplog-1. To our delight, $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits little tumorpromoting or proinflammatory activities. ${ }^{16}$

Although $\mathbf{1}$ is a promising anti-cancer lead, its synthesis required 23 linear steps with an overall yield of only $1.1 \%{ }^{17}$ To develop a more practical and more synthetically accessible lead, modification of the skeleton was identified as a potential strategy. Kishi's first synthesis of DAT adopted a simultaneous construction of the spiroketal at position 7 , the acetal at position 3 , and the macrolactone ring, ${ }^{18}$ which suggested to us that an acetal analog at position 3 could be more synthetically accessible than the 3-deoxy analogs such as Aplog-1 and 10-methyl-Aplog-1. However, the C-3 hydroxyl group of DAT suffers from dehydration even in chloroform. We therefore designed a new acetal analog of 10-methyl-Aplog-1 (2) (Figure 1) whose carbon atom at position 4 was replaced with an oxygen atom. In this paper, the synthesis and biological activities of $\mathbf{2}$, as well as its 3 -epimer (16), are described.

## 2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 2.1 Synthesis of the acetal analogs (2 and 16)

The synthesis of 2 is shown in Scheme 1. A known epoxide 4 was synthesized from $m$-hydroxycinnamic acid (3) as reported previously ( $17 \%$ in 10 steps). ${ }^{17}$ Then, a hydroxyl group of 4 was protected with a tri-
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Figure 1: Structures of PKC activators.
ethylsilyl (TES) group. The coupling of an epoxide $\mathbf{5}$ with a dithiane $\mathbf{6}^{19}$ was achieved by the protocol of Ide and Nakata. ${ }^{20}$ Since this step was required strict dry conditions, normal vacuum drying was inappropriate for dehydrating large amounts of compounds. ${ }^{15}$ Thus, the small scale reaction was repeated several times. Esterification of 7 with a carboxylic acid $\mathbf{8}^{15}$ followed by deprotection of a thioketal 9 provided $\mathbf{1 0}$. Deprotection of a 4-methoxyphenylmethyl (MPM) group gave 11, then Yamaguchi's esterification ${ }^{21}$ with a known carboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 2}{ }^{22}$ provided 13. For the macrocyclization step, we employed Noyori's condition ${ }^{23}$ using trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf) to achieve double cyclic acetalization. This involved removal of the TBS and TES groups and the successive construction of spiroketal at position 7 and cyclic acetal at position 3 . This reaction at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided 14 and its epimer at position 3 (15) in a ratio of approximately 2:3. The relative configurations of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ were determined by nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) experiments (Supporting Information). The low yield of this step might be mainly due to the hydrolysis of ester bonds before deprotection of the TBS group. Moreover, $\mathbf{1 4}$ was thermodynamically less stable than $\mathbf{1 5}$, which was explained by the anomeric effect at the spiroketal moiety; $\mathbf{1 5}$ benefits from double anomeric stabilization while $\mathbf{1 4}$ has a single anomeric spiroketal. On the other hand, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR coupling constants of macrolactone ring of $\mathbf{1 4}$ were similar to those of dibenzyl $\mathbf{- 1}$ (Supporting Information), suggesting that the replacement of the carbon atom at position 4 with an oxygen atom had no effect on the molecular conformation. Removal of the two benzyl groups of $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ gave $\mathbf{2}$ and 16, respectively (2: $0.30 \%, \mathbf{1 6}: 0.67 \%$ from $\mathbf{3}$ in 18 steps).

### 2.2 Proinflammatory activity of 2 and 16

Our previous studies showed that installing a methyl group at position 4 of $\mathbf{1}$ significantly increased adverse effects such as tumor-promoting and proinflammatory activities, ${ }^{24}$ while a methyl group installed at position 10 or 12 did not enhance these activities. ${ }^{16,24}$ Since these undesirable activities seemed to be sensitive to structural modifications around position 4, we first verified the proinflammatory activity of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ in the ears of mice. The ear of each ICR mouse was treated with each compound for 24 h . Proinflammatory activity was measured by the increase in the relative weight of each ear after treatment (Figure 2). In
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Scheme 1. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$.
(a) TES-Cl, imidazole, THF, 89\%. (b) 6, $n$-BuLi, THF, $84 \%$. (c) 8, DCC, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 57 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 63 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{DDQ}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 83 \%$. (f) 12, TCB-Cl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}$, toluene, $90 \%$. (g) TMSOTf, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathbf{1 4}: 10 \%, 15: 14 \%$. (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \%$ Pd/C, EtOH, 2: 78\%, 16: $83 \%$.
contrast to tumor-promoting DAT, 2 and $\mathbf{1 6}$ did not show any proinflammatory activity even at 170 nmol . Since proinflammatory activity was well correlated to tumor-promoting activity, ${ }^{25} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ were expected not to promote tumorigenesis.


Figure 2: Change in the relative weight of each mouse ear 24 h after the application of debromoaplysiatoxin (DAT), 2, and 16. Error bars show the standard deviation of at least two samples. ${ }^{*} p<0.01$ vs. vehicle ( EtOH ) group (Dunnett's test).

### 2.3 Binding affinity of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ for PKC isozymes

PKC $\alpha$ and $\delta$ isozymes are predominantly expressed in several human epithelial cancer cell lines, and involved in the cell line-specific anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic activities of PKC ligands. ${ }^{26}$ Thus, we examined the binding affinity of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ for $\mathrm{PKC} \alpha$ and $\delta$ using a competitive binding assay with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ phorbol 12,13 -dibutyrate (PDBu) as described previously by Sharkey and Blumberg. ${ }^{27}$ We used synthetic PKC $\alpha-$ C1A $(\alpha-\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~A})$ and PKC $\delta-\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~B}(\delta-\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~B})$ peptides that are more stable than whole PKC $\alpha$ and $\delta$, produced by molecular biology techniques. These domains are the main binding sites of tumor-promoters, and dissociation constants of several PKC ligands for these peptides were almost equal to those for whole PKC enzymes. ${ }^{28}$ As shown in Table 1, the affinities of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ for $\alpha$-C1A and $\delta$-C1B were $5-30$ times weaker than that of $\mathbf{1} .{ }^{16}$ As mentioned above, the replacement of the carbon atom at position 4 with an oxygen atom did not alter the molecular conformation. Therefore, the weaker binding affinity of $\mathbf{2}$ might be attributed to the decreased hydrophobicity around position 4 . Comparing 2 and 16, they showed similar ability to bind to C 1 domains of PKC, despite the significant difference in configuration around position 3. Our previous study showed that $20-\mathrm{OH}, 27-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, and $30-\mathrm{OH}$ groups of aplysiatoxin (ATX) were involved in hydrogen bond with $\delta-\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~B} .{ }^{29}$ On the other hand, although 1-C=O of ATX might contribute to generate molecular electrostatic potential complementary to $\delta$-C1B, it was not involved in any hydrogen bond. ${ }^{29}$ These observations suggested that the configuration at positions 1-4 of ATX and its analogs was not essential to PKC binding. However, the affinity of $\mathbf{1 6}$ for $\delta$-C1B was about two times weaker than that of $\mathbf{2}$, while the affinity of $\mathbf{1 6}$ for $\alpha-\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ was almost the same as that of $\mathbf{2}$. These results suggest that $\delta$-C1B recognizes the configuration around position 3 of $\mathbf{2}$, while $\alpha$-C1A did not. Such knowledge might be useful
to develop an isozyme-selective PKC ligand in the future.

Table 1: Values of $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ for the inhibition of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PDBu binding of by $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and 16

|  | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PKC C1 peptides | $\mathbf{1}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{b}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}^{b}$ |
| $\alpha-$ C1A | 4.7 | $22(4)$ | $22(0.9)$ |
| $\delta-$ C1B | 0.46 | $6.8(1.3)$ | $13(0.9)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Cited from Ref. [16]. ${ }^{b}$ Values in parentheses represent the standard deviation from at least two separate experiments.

### 2.4 Anti-proliferative activity of $\mathbf{2}$ and 16

Finally, we evaluated the anti-proliferative activities of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ through growth inhibition tests against 39 human cancer cell lines established by Yamori et al. ${ }^{30}$ Growth inhibitory activity was expressed as $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ (M), the concentration required to inhibit cell growth by $50 \%$ compared to an untreated control. Since $\mathbf{1}$ showed cell line-selective anti-proliferative activity, the results for the cell lines where $\mathbf{1}$ showed activity with $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of less than $-6.00^{16}$ are listed in Table 2, except for MKN45; the rest of the data are provided in supporting information. The growth inhibitory activities of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ against these cell lines were one order of magnitude lower than that of $\mathbf{1}$, except for MKN45. Since there was good correlation between the ability to bind to PKC and the anti-proliferative activity against these cell lines, ${ }^{31}$ the lower growth inhibitory activity of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ could be attributed to their weak binding affinity for PKC.

Table 2: Growth inhibition of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{1 6}$ against several human cancer cell lines

|  |  | $\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cancer cell line |  | $\mathbf{1}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Breast | HBC-4 | -7.48 | -6.26 | -5.88 |
|  | MDA-MB-231 | -6.90 | -5.10 | -4.95 |
| CNS | SNB-78 | -6.05 | $>-5.00$ | -4.60 |
| Colon | HCC2998 | -6.47 | -5.56 | -5.17 |
| Lung | NCI-H226 | -6.15 | -5.31 | -4.80 |
|  | NCI-H460 | -7.07 | -6.12 | -5.69 |
|  | A549 | -6.01 | -5.40 | -5.20 |
| Melanoma | LOX-IMVI | -6.21 | $>-5.00$ | -4.75 |
| Stomach | St-4 | -6.24 | -5.96 | -5.33 |
|  | MKN45 | -4.97 | -6.00 | -5.62 |

${ }^{a}$ Cited from Ref. [16].

## 3 CONCLUSION

We synthesized the acetal analog of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{( 2 )}$ along with its 3 -epimer (16) in 18 linear steps using the strategy of simultaneously constructing the spiroketal at position 7 , the acetal at position 3 , and the macrolactone ring, but its overall yield was lower than that of 1 due to the difficulty in the stereocontrol at position 3 and the delayed deprotection of the TBS group. The binding affinity for PKC isozymes and the antiproliferative activity of $\mathbf{2}$ were weaker than those of $\mathbf{1}$. These results suggest that adequate hydrophobicity
around position 4 is important to develop a promising anti-cancer lead. On the other hand, there was no difference in the binding affinity for PKC $\alpha$-C1A between $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$, while the affinity of $\mathbf{1 6}$ for PKC $\delta$-C1B was lower than that of $\mathbf{2}$. These results suggest that modification of the conformation or configuration at position 3 of $\mathbf{1}$ may be a useful strategy for designing an isozyme-specific PKC ligand.

## 4 EXPERIMENTAL

### 4.1 General remarks

The following spectroscopic and analytical instruments were used: Digital polarimeter, P-2200 (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, AVANCE III 400 and AVANCE III 500 (ref. TMS, Bruker, Germany); HPLC, Model 600E with a Model 2487 UV detector (Waters, Milford, MA, USA); HR-ESI-qTOF-MS, XevoG2-S-qTOF (Waters); HPLC was carried out on a YMC Packed ODS-A (YMC, Kyoto, Japan). Wakogel C-200 (silica gel, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) and YMC A60-350/250 gel (ODS, YMC) were used for column chromatography. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{PDBu}(18.7 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was custom-synthesized by PerkinElmer Life Sciences Research Products (Boston, MA, USA). The PKC C1 peptides were synthesized as reported previously. ${ }^{32}$ All other chemicals and reagents were purchased from chemical companies and used without further purification. All animal use procedures were approved by Kyoto University Animal Experimentation Committee and performed according to its guidance.

### 4.2 Synthesis of 2 and 16

(( $(2 S, 3 R)$-7-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-((R)-oxiran-2-yl)heptan-3-yl)oxy)triethylsilane (5). To a solution of $4(1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 5.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 16.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) in THF ( 100 mL ) was added chlorotriethylsilane ( $1.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, and the reaction was quenched with brine $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hex}-$ ane) to afford $\mathbf{5}(2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 4.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%)$ as a clear oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ : (silica, EtOAc/hexane $\left.=1: 9\right)=0.51 ;$ IR: 3034, 2952, 1583, 1487, 1455, 1258, 1155, 1041, 737, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.043 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 0.58$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.33-1.66(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.78-6.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30-7.46(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13}$ C NMR: $(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.043 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 5.2$ (3C), 7.0 (3C), 11.9, 25.7, 31.6, 34.0, 36.1, 41.5, 45.2, 53.2, 70.0, 74.6, 111.9, 115.2, 121.2, 127.5 (2C), $127.9,128.6$ (2C), 129.2, 137.3, $144.4,158.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=$ $477.2823\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SiNa} 477.2801 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+2.40\left(c=1.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 26.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(2S,3S,4R)-8-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-1-(2-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-2-methylpropan-2-yl)-1,3-dithian-2-yl)-3-methyl-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)octan-2-ol (7). To a solution of 6 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.3 equiv.) in THF ( 0.6 mL ) was added $1.6 \mathrm{M} n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexane ( $0.142 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3$ equiv.) at room temperature. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was cooled at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $5(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. This procedure was repeated 11 times and a total of $770 \mathrm{mg}(2.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 6 was reacted. The combined mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $60 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \% \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ to afford $7(774 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$ as a clear oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}:($ silica, EtOAc $/$ hexane $=1: 9)=0.70$; IR: 3445, 2953, 2857, 1733, 1683, 1583, 1463, 1256, 1155, 1093, 838, 775, 737, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.022 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.59(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.96$
$(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.30-1.72(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.77-2.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.89-2.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.78-6.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30-7.44(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.022 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta-5.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 5.3$ (3C), 7.0 (3C), 10.7, 18.3, 21.1, 21.2, 23.0, 26.0 (3C), 26.2, 27.2, 27.4, 31.7, 31.7, 36.2, 43.3, 45.3, 48.0, 61.5, 68.2, $70.0,71.5,72.6,111.8,115.2,121.2,127.5$ (2C), 127.9, 128.5 (2C), 129.2, $137.3,144.6,158.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=783.4278\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 784.4308 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+2.0(c=$ $\left.0.71, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(2S,3S,4R)-8-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-1-(2-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-2-methylpropan-2-yl)-1,3-dithian-2-yl)-3-methyl-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)octan-2-yl (R)-4-(benzyloxy)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butanoate (9). To $7(770 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added a solution of $8\left(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2\right.$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ), DCC ( $667 \mathrm{mg}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.2$ equiv.) and DMAP ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature, and the reaction was quenched with brine ( 10 mL ). To this mixture was added EtOAc ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 60 mLx 3 ). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $3 \% \rightarrow 6 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford 9 ( 615 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.573 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%)$ as a clear oil and the starting material $7(236 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%)$ was recovered; $\mathbf{R}_{f}:($ silica, $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $=2: 8)=0.22$; IR: 2952, 1733, 1717, 1508, 1457, 1249, 1092, 838, 737, 696 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0063 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.58(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88-0.90(12 \mathrm{H}$, m), $0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.38-1.66(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.85(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=16.3,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55-2.87(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.53-3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.77-6.83(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.15-7.44(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0063 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta-5.4,-5.4,5.2$ (3C), 7.1 (3C), 11.5, 14.1, 18.3, 21.5, 23.6, 25.8, 26.0 (3C), 27.7, 27.8, 31.7, 34.0, 36.1, 38.1, 39.4, 42.7, 48.3, 55.3, 60.6, 68.5, 69.9, 72.1 (2C), 73.3, $73.4,74.4,74.9,111.8,113.7$ (2C), 115.1, 121.2, 127.5 (2C), 127.5, 127.6 (2C), 127.9, 128.3 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.2, 129.3 (2C), 130.9, 137.3, 138.4, 144.6, 158.9, $159.2,170.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=$ $1095.5598\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 1095.5670 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+4.3\left(c=0.45, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(5R,6S,7S)-5-(4-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)butyl)-3,3-diethyl-6,10,10,13,13,14,14-heptamethyl-9-oxo-4,12-dioxa-3,13-disilapentadecan-7-yl (R)-4-(benzyloxy)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butanoate (10). To a solution of $9(433 \mathrm{mg}, 0.404 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(55 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(18.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and iodine ( $410 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 2 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(80 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc/hexane) to afford $\mathbf{1 0}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.255 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%)$ as a clear oil; $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ : (silica, EtOAc/hexane $=2: 8)=0.51 ;$ IR: 2954, 2876, 1733, 1612, 1584, 1514, 1456, 1250, 1173, 1102, 839, 777, $738,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.032 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 0.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.56(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.85-0.89(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.37-1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.58-1.63$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54-2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.82-2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.76-6.84(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.15-7.44(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.032 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta-5.6,-5.6,5.2$ (3C), 7.0 (3C), 11.2, 18.2, 21.4, 21.5, 25.5, 25.9 (3C), 31.6, 33.8, 36.1, 37.7, 39.8, 40.8, 49.5, 55.3, 69.8, 69.9, 70.6, 72.0, 72.2, 73.3, 74.1, 74.9, 112.0, 113.7 (2C), 115.1, 121.2, 127.5 (3C), 127.6 (2C), 127.8, 128.3 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.2, 129.4 (2C), 130.9, 137.3, 138.4, 144.5, 158.9, 159.2, 170.5, 211.5 ppm ; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=1005.5712$ ( $[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 1005.5708$.; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:-0.40\left(c=0.74, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(5R,6S,7S)-5-(4-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)butyl)-3,3-diethyl-6,10,10,13,13,14,14-heptamethyl-9-oxo-4,12-dioxa-3,13-disilapentadecan-7-yl (R)-4-(benzyloxy)-3-hydroxybutanoate (11). To a mixture of $\mathbf{1 0}$
( 250 mg , 254 mmol ), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(31 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pH 7.2 phosphate buffer ( $6.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 319252$, Fluka $^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) was added DDQ ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.508 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 3 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(80 \mathrm{~mL} x 3)$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $7 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford $11(182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.181 \mathrm{mmol}$, $83 \%$ ) as a clear oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}:($ silica, $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $=2: 8)=0.35$; IR: 3525, 3033, 2931, 1733, 1601, 1583, 1456, 1382, 1257, 1156, 1103, 839, 777, 737, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.037 \mathrm{M}$ ): $\delta 0.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $0.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.57(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.06$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36-1.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52-1.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57-2.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.1$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.44-3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=9.5,5.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77-6.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.45(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.037 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta-5.6,-5.6,5.2$ (3C), 7.0 (3C), 11.1, 18.2, 21.4, 21.5, 25.5, 25.8 (3C), 31.5, 33.7, 36.0, 38.8, 39.7, 40.8, 49.6, 67.2, 70.0 (2C), 70.7, 73.3, 73.4, 74.0, 112.0, 115.1, 121.2, 127.5 (2C), 127.7 (3C), 127.8, 128.4 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.2, 137.3, 138.1, 144.5, 158.9, 171.1, 212.4 ppm; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=885.5137\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 885.5133 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+2.8\left(c=0.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 25.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(5R,6S,7S)-5-(4-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)butyl)-3,3-diethyl-6,10,10,13,13,14,14-heptamethyl-9-oxo-4,12-dioxa-3,13-disilapentadecan-7-yl ( $R$ )-4-(benzyloxy)-3-((3,3-diethoxypropanoyl)oxy)butanoate (13). To a solution of $12\left(58.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.362 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5\right.$ equiv.) in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(55.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.398$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.9$ equiv.) and TCB-Cl ( $56.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.362 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) at room temperature. After stirring for 2 $h$ at room temperature, the resulting suspension was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.211 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $48.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.398 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9$ equiv.) in toluene ( 5 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at room temperature and quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(80 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $)$ to afford $\mathbf{1 3}(191 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$ as a clear oil; $\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}:($ silica, $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $=2: 8)$ $=0.46$; IR: 2954, 2932, 1741, 1457, 1376, 1257, 1104, 838, 776, $741,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1}$ H NMR: $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.029 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 0.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.56(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.80-0.88(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.94(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.16(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35-1.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.54-1.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.94$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.53-2.71(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.2,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48-3.55$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.57-3.66(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.77-6.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.45(10 \mathrm{H}$, m) ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.029 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta-5.6,-5.6,5.2$ (3C), 7.0 (3C), 11.2, 15.3 (2C), 18.2, $21.4,21.5,25.5,25.8$ (3C), 31.6, 33.9, 36.0, 36.0, 39.6, 40.1, 40.7, 49.4, 61.8, 62.0, 69.7, 69.8, 69.9, 70.4, $70.8,73.3,74.1,99.7,112.0,115.1,121.2,127.5$ (2C), 127.6 (3C), 127.8, 128.4 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.2, 137.4, 138.1, 144.5, 158.9, 169.1, 169.2, 211.4 ppm; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=1029.5874\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 1029.5919 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ : $+2.0\left(c=0.71, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 21.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
( $1 S, 3 R, 4 R, 5 S, 9 R, 13 S$ )-9-((benzyloxy)methyl)-3-(4-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)butyl)-4,16,16-trimethyl-2,6,10,14,17-pentaoxatricyclo[11.3.1.11,5] octadecane-7,11-dione (14) and ( $1 S, 3 R, 4 R, 5 S, 9 R, 13 R$ )-9-((benzyloxy)methyl)-3-(4-(3-(benzyloxy)phenyl)butyl)-4,16,16-trimethyl-2,6,10,14,17-pentaoxatricyclo[11.3.1.11,5] octadecane-7,11-dione ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ ). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 3}(16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0168 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TMSOTf ( $3.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0168 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 $\min$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction was quenched with saturated aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hex}$ ane) and purified by HPLC (column, YMC Packed ODS-A AA12S05-2510WT; solvent, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ $92.5 \%$, flow rate $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detector 254 nm ) to afford $\mathbf{1 4}(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \%)$, and $15(1.6$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 14 \%$ ); Data for 14: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ : (silica, EtOAc/hexane $\left.=2: 8\right)=0.39$; IR: 2931, 2859, 1729, 1582,

1456, 1261, 1120, $739,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0034 \mathrm{M}$ ): $\delta 0.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.30-1.71(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.57-2.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.14$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.78-6.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.45(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0034 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 13.1,20.2,21.0,24.3,26.9,31.1,32.3,36.1,36.8,37.0,37.7,41.5,68.8,69.5,70.0$, $70.0,72.1,73.5,74.4,94.6,99.7,111.9,115.2,121.3,127.5$ (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.8, 127.9, 128.5 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.2, 137.4, 137.7, 144.7, 158.9, 168.0, 170.0 ppm ; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=709.3372$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Na} 709.3353 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+33\left(c=0.080, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 28.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; Data for 15: $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ : (silica, EtOAc/hexane $=2: 8$ ) $=0.42$; IR: 2935, 1733, 1717, 1582, 1457, 1388, 1308, 1177, 1154, 1057, 1011, $970,752,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0076 \mathrm{M}$ ): $\delta 0.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36-1.73(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54-2.64(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.9,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.45-3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.04-5.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.79-6.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.44(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0076 \mathrm{M}\right): ~ \delta 13.9,19.6,22.0,24.9,31.0,31.4,32.6,36.1,36.4,37.0,37.4$, $41.0,69.3,69.4,70.0,70.4,73.4,73.5,74.3,91.7,99.4,111.9,115.3,121.2,127.5$ (2C), 127.7 (2C), 127.8, 127.9, 128.5 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 129.2, 137.3, 137.8, 144.5, 159.0, 169.5, 169.8 ppm; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=709.3372\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Na} 709.3353 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+100\left(c=0.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 17.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(1S,3R,4R,5S,9R,13S)-9-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)butyl)-4,16,16-trimethyl-2,6,10,14,17pentaoxatricyclo[11.3.1.11,5] octadecane-7,11-dione (2). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(0.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in EtOH $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.5 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The mixture was filtered, and purified by HPLC (column, YMC Packed ODS-A AA12S05-2510WT; solvent, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=92.5 \%$, flow rate $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detector 254 nm ) to afford $\mathbf{2}(0.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 79 \%)$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}:($ silica, EtOAc $/$ hexane $=1: 1)=0.23$; IR: 3422, 2938, 1725, 1588, 1458, 1391, 1302, 1155, 1121, 1029, 994, 969, $756,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0020$ M): $\delta 0.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33-1.62(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52-2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.64-2.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.81-2.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81-3.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.73$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0026 \mathrm{M}\right)$ : $\delta 13.1,20.2,21.0,24.0,26.9,29.3,31.4,35.4,36.6,37.4,37.9,41.6,63.9,67.6,72.5,72.8,74.4,94.6,99.9$, 112.7, 114.9, 120.7, 129.4, 144.7, 156.2, 169.6, 170.2 ppm ; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=529.2417$ ( $[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Na} 529.2414 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+131\left(c=0.050, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 28.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
(1S,3R,4R,5S,9R,13R)-9-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)butyl)-4,16,16-trimethyl-2,6,10,14,17pentaoxatricyclo[11.3.1.11,5] octadecane-7,11-dione (16). To a solution of $15(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 6.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}) \mathrm{in} \mathrm{EtOH}$ $(250 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(2.0 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The mixture was filtered, and purified by HPLC (column, YMC Packed ODS-A AA12S05-2510WT; solvent, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=92.5 \%$, flow rate $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detector 254 nm ) to afford $\mathbf{1 6}(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 83 \%)$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}:($ silica, $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $=1: 1)=0.26$; IR: 3423, 2936, 1726, 1588, $1459,1389,1310,1179,1131,1055,1010,970,754,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ H NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.0040 \mathrm{M}\right)$ : $\delta 0.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.35-1.70(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=14.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60-2.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.8,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.69-3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.07$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75-6.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.15$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 0.017 \mathrm{M}\right): \delta 13.9,19.6,22.0,24.6,30.7,31.0,32.4$, $35.6,36.5,36.8,37.3,41.0,64.3,69.4,72.1,73.5,74.2,91.6,99.4,112.8,115.6,121.0,129.5,144.5,155.7$, 169.0, 171.2 ppm ; HR-ESI-qTOF-MS: $m / z=529.2417\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{Na} 529.2414$;
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:+79\left(c=0.10, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 28.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

### 4.3 Mouse ear swelling test.

This test was performed as reported previously. ${ }^{33}$ A solution of each test compound in EtOH $(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ or EtOH (vehicle) was applied to the right ear of ICR mice (Shimizu Laboratory Supplies, Japan) by means of micropipette. A volume of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was delivered to both the inner and outer surfaces of the ear. After 24 h , a disk ( $0.8-\mathrm{cm}$ square) was obtained from the ear and weighed. Each group consisted of at least two mice.

### 4.4 Measurements of cell growth inhibition.

A panel of 39 human cancer cell lines established by Yamori and colleagues ${ }^{30}$ according to the NCI method with modifications was employed, and cell growth inhibitory activity was measured as reported previously. In brief, the cells were plated in 96 -well plates in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum and allowed to attach overnight. The cells were incubated with each test compound for 48 h . Cell growth was estimated by the sulforhodamine B assay. The $50 \%$ growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}\right)$ parameter was calculated as reported previously. ${ }^{34}$ Absorbance for the control well $(C)$ and the test well $(T)$ was measured at 525 nm along with that for the test well at time $0\left(T_{0}\right)$. Cell growth inhibition (\% growth) by each concentration of drug (2: $10^{-9}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-7}, 10^{-6}$, and $10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathbf{1 6}: 10^{-8}, 10^{-7}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-5}$, and $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) was calculated as $100\left[\left(T-T_{0}\right) /\left(C-T_{0}\right)\right]$ using the average of duplicate points. By processing these values, each $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ value, defined as $100\left[\left(T-T_{0}\right) /\left(C-T_{0}\right)\right]=50$, was determined.

### 4.5 Inhibition of specific binding of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PDBu to the PKC C 1 peptides.

The binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{PDBu}$ to the $\mathrm{PKC} \alpha-\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta$-C1B peptides was evaluated by the procedure of Sharkey and Blumberg ${ }^{27}$ with modifications as reported previously ${ }^{28}$ with 50 mM Tris-maleate buffer ( pH 7.4 at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $40 \mathrm{nM} \alpha$-C1A peptide or $13.8 \mathrm{nM} \delta$-C1B peptide, $20 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{PDBu}(18.7 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}), 50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (Sigma), $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ bovine $\gamma$-globulin (Sigma), and various concentrations of an inhibitors. Binding affinity was evaluated based on the concentration required to cause $50 \%$ inhibition of the specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{PDBu}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$, which was calculated by $\log$-probit regression analysis. The inhibition constant, $K_{i}$, was calculated by the method of Sharkey and Blumberg. ${ }^{27}$
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